	Casces: 69-69-02-5005-56-SID & Comment of t.555	353 Fileed 1002/0078/2000 7 Pagreage of 1 3 of 3	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (# 060359) ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com Caroline N. Mitchell (# 143124) cnmitchell@jonesday.com JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 Attorneys for Defendants BARBARA ENLOE HADSELL., ESQ. (# 0 bhadsell@hadsellstormer.com LAUREN TEUKOLSKY, ESQ. (# 211381) lauren@hadsellstormer.com LAW OFFICE OF HADSELL & STORMER 128 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 204 Pasadena, California 91103-3664 Telephone: (626) 585-9600 Facsimile: (626) 585-9600 Attorneys for Plaintiffs UNITED STAT		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15 16			
17	LARRY BOWOTO, et. al.	Case No. C-99-2506-SI	
18	Plaintiffs,	PARTIES' STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ON PAGE LIMIT FOR DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON CLAIMS 10-17	
19	v.		
20	CHEVRON CORPORATION, et al.,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	SFI-560900v1	1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: PAGE LIMIT FOR DEFS.' REPLY	

Cases: 9:09-02:5005-S6-SID obcomment of 155553 Filed 022/008/2000 7 Page 2 2000 3

1	WHEREAS, the Court's Order (Dkt. 1349) permitted 35 pages for Defendants' Motion		
2	for Summary Judgment on Claims 10-17 (Dkt. 1353) and 35 pages for Plaintiffs' Opposition to		
3	Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 1452), but did not set a page limit for Defendants' Reply in		
4	Support of Motion for Summary Judgment on Claims 10-17; and		
5	WHEREAS, plaintiffs have agreed to defendants' request for 20 pages for its Reply in		
6	Support of Motion for Summary Judgment on Claims 10-17 due on February 15, 2007;		
7	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE THAT:		
8	1. Defendants may have 20 pages for their Reply in Support of Motion for Summary		
9	Judgment on Claims 10-17 due on February 15, 2007.		
10			
11			
12	DATED: February 7, 2007	JONES DAY	
13		By: /s/ Caroline N. Mitchell	
14		Caroline N. Mitchell Attorneys for Defendants	
15	DATED EL 7 2007		
16	DATED: February 7, 2007	LAW OFFICE OF HADSELL & STORMER, INC.	
17		By: <u>/s/ Lauren Teukolsky</u> Lauren Teukolsky	
18		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	DATED: February, 2007	Suran Illaton	
21		The Hon. Susan Illston	
22		United States District Court Judge	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR NON-E FILERS

I, Yumi Bennett, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in San Francisco County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 555 California Street, 26th Floor, San Francisco, California 94104. On February 7, 2007, I served a copy of the within document(s):

1. PARTIES' STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ON PAGE LIMIT FOR DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON CLAIMS 10-17

in a sealed envelope, postage fully paid, addressed as follows:

Jennifer M. Green, Esq CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS 666 Broadway, 7th Floor New York, NY 10012 Telephone: (212) 614-6431 Facsimile: (212) 614-6499

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on February 7, 2007 at San Francisco, California.

Yumi Bennett